# A two-dimensional limit discrete theorem for Mellin transforms of the Riemann zeta-function

## Violeta BALINSKAITĖ (VU)

e-mail: violeta.balinskaite@mif.vu.lt

**Abstract.** In the paper two-dimensional limit theorem for the modified Mellin transform of the Riemann zeta-function is obtained.

Keywords: limit theorem, Mellin transform, probability measure, Riemann zeta-function, weak convergence.

Let  $\zeta(s)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , as usual, denote the Riemann zeta-function. The modified Mellin transforms  $\mathcal{Z}_k(s)$  of powers  $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)|^{2k}$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , are defined, for  $\sigma \ge \sigma_0(k) > 1$ , by

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(s) = \int_1^\infty \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right) \right|^{2k} x^{-s} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

In [2] and [3], discrete limit theorems on the complex plane for  $Z_1(s)$  and  $Z_2(s)$ , respectively, were proved. Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  the class of Borel sets of the space *S*, and, for  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , put

$$\mu_N(\ldots) = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{0 \leqslant m \leqslant N} 1,$$

where in place of dots a condition satisfied by m is to be written. Let h > 0 be a fixed number.

THEOREM 1 [2]. Let  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . Then on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  there exists a probability measure  $P_{\sigma}$  such that the probability measure

 $\mu_N(\mathcal{Z}_1(\sigma + imh) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}),$ 

converges weakly to  $P_{\sigma}$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

THEOREM 2 [3]. Let  $\frac{7}{8} < \sigma < 1$ . Then on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$  there exists a probability measure  $P_{\sigma}$  such that the probability measure

$$\mu_N(\mathcal{Z}_2(\sigma + imh) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}),$$

converges weakly to  $P_{\sigma}$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

The aim of this note is a two-dimensional limit discrete theorem for the functions  $\mathcal{Z}_1(s)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_2(s)$ . Define

$$P_{N,\sigma_1,\sigma_2} = \mu_N((\mathcal{Z}_1(\sigma_1 + imh), \mathcal{Z}_2(\sigma_2 + imh)) \in A), A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2).$$

THEOREM 3. Suppose that  $\sigma_1 > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{7}{8} < \sigma_2 < 1$ . Then on  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})^2)$  there exists a probability measure  $P_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  such that the measure  $P_{N,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  converges weakly to  $P_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

Let a > 1 be a fixed number, for  $y \ge 1$ ,  $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $v(x, y) = \exp\{-(\frac{x}{y})^{\sigma_0}\}$ , and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{k,a,y}(s) = \int_{1}^{a} \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right) \right|^{2k} x^{-s} v(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad k = 1, 2$$

We begin the proof of Theorem 3 with a limit theorem for the vector

$$\underline{\mathcal{Z}}_{a,y}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,t) = (\mathcal{Z}_{1,a,y}(\sigma_1+it), \mathcal{Z}_{2,a,y}(\sigma_2+it)).$$

For this aim, we apply a limit theorem on the torus

$$\Omega_a = \prod_{u \in [1,a]} \gamma_u,$$

where  $\gamma_u = \{s \in \mathbb{C}: |s| = 1\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma$  for all  $u \in [1, a]$ . By the Tikhonov theorem, with the product topology and pointwise multiplication, the torus  $\Omega_a$  is a compact topological Abelian group. On  $(\Omega_a, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_a))$ , define the probability measure

$$Q_{N,a}(A) = \mu_N((u^{imn}: u \in [1, a]) \in A)$$

LEMMA 4. On  $(\Omega_a, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_a))$ , there exists a probability measure  $Q_a$  such that the probability measure  $Q_{N,a}$  converges weakly to  $Q_a$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

Proof of the lemma is given in [3], Theorem 5. Now let

$$P_{N,a,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}(A) = \mu_N(\underline{\mathcal{Z}}_{a,y}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,mh) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2).$$

THEOREM 5. On  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2))$ , there exists a probability measure  $P_{a,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  such that the probability measure  $P_{N,a,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  converges weakly to  $P_{a,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Define a function  $h_{a,y}$ :  $\Omega_a \to \mathbb{C}^2$  by the formula

$$h_{a,y}(\{y_x \colon x \in [1,a]\}) = \left(\int_1^a \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)\right|^2 x^{-\sigma_1} v(x,y) \widehat{y_x}^{-1} dx, \\ \int_1^a \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)\right|^4 x^{-\sigma_2} v(x,y) \widehat{y_x}^{-1} dx\right),$$

where

$$\widehat{y}_x = \begin{cases} y_x \text{ if } y_x \text{ is integrable over } [1,a], \\ \text{an arbitrary integrable over } [1,a] \text{ circle function otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the function  $h_{a,y}$  is continuous, and

$$\begin{split} h_{a,y}(\{x^{imh}: x \in [1, a]\}) \\ &= \left(\int_{1}^{a} \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)\right|^{2} x^{-(\sigma_{1} + imh)} v(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}x, \int_{1}^{a} \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)\right|^{4} x^{-(\sigma_{2} + imh)} v(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}x \right) \\ &= \underline{\mathcal{Z}}_{a,y}(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, mh). \end{split}$$

Therefore, the theorem is a consequence of Lemma 4 and Theorem 5.1 of [1]. By [2], [3], the integrals

$$\mathcal{Z}_{k,y}(s) = \int_{1}^{\infty} \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right) \right|^{2k} x^{-s} v(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

converges absolutely for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\sigma > \frac{7}{8}$ , respectively. Let

$$\underline{\mathcal{Z}}_{y}(\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2},t) = (\mathcal{Z}_{1,y}(\sigma_{1}+it),\mathcal{Z}_{2,y}(\sigma_{2}+it)),$$

and

$$P_{N, y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2}(A) = \mu_N(\underline{\mathcal{Z}}_y(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh) \in A), \ A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2).$$

THEOREM 6. Let  $\sigma_1 > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{7}{8} < \sigma_2 < 1$ . Then on  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2))$  there exists a probability measure  $P_{y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  such that the probability measure  $P_{N,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  converges weakly to  $P_{y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let M > 0 be arbitrary number. Then we have that

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{N \to \infty} P_{N,a,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}(\{|\underline{z}| \in \mathbb{C}^2 \colon |\underline{z}| > M\}) \\ &= \limsup_{N \to \infty} \mu_N(|\underline{\mathcal{Z}}_{a,y}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh)| > M) \\ &\leqslant \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{M(N+1)} \sum_{m=0}^N |\underline{\mathcal{Z}}_{a,y}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh)| \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{M} \sup_{a \geqslant 1} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{m=0}^N \Big(\sum_{k=1}^2 |\mathcal{Z}_{k,a,y}(\sigma_k + imh)|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{M} \sup_{a \geqslant 1} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \Big(\sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{k=1}^2 |\mathcal{Z}_{k,a,y}(\sigma_k + imh)|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{split}$$

V. Balinskaitė

$$\leq rac{1}{M}\sum_{k=1}^2 |\mathcal{Z}_{k,y}(\sigma_k)|^2 \leq rac{R}{M}.$$

Hence, taking  $M = R\varepsilon^{-1}$ , we find that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} P_{N,a,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}(\{\underline{z}\in\mathbb{C}^2\colon |\underline{z}|>M)\leqslant\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we obtain that the family of probability measures  $\{P_{a,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}: a \ge 1\}$  is tight, and relatively compact. Thus, there exists a subsequence  $\{P_{a_1,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}\} \subset \{P_{a,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}\}$  such that  $P_{a_1,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  converges weakly to some measure  $P_{y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$  as  $a_1 \rightarrow \infty$ .

On a certain probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), \mathbb{P})$ , define a random variable  $\theta_N$  by

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta_N = hm) = \frac{1}{N+1}, \quad m = 0, 1, ..., N,$$

and put

$$\underline{X}_{N,a,y}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = \underline{\mathcal{Z}}_{a,y}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\theta_N).$$

Then by Theorem 5,

$$\underline{X}_{N,a,y}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \underline{X}_{a,y}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2),$$
(1)

where  $\underline{X}_{a,y}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -valued random element with the distribution  $P_{a,y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$ . Moreover, from above we have that

$$\underline{X}_{a_1,y}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \xrightarrow[a_1 \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_{y,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}.$$
(2)

Denoting by  $\rho$  the metric on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , we obtain that

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N} \rho(\mathcal{Z}_{a,y}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh), \mathcal{Z}_y(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh))$$

$$\leq \lim_{a \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N} |\mathcal{Z}_{k,a,y}(\sigma_k + imh) - \mathcal{Z}_{k,y}(\sigma_k + imh)| = 0.$$
(3)

Now let  $\underline{X}_{N,y}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \underline{\mathcal{Z}}_y(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \theta_N)$ . Then, in view of (3), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\rho(\underline{X}_{N,a,y}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2), \underline{X}_{N,y}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) \ge \varepsilon)$$
$$= \lim_{a \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \mu_N(\rho(\underline{\mathcal{Z}}_{a,y}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh), \underline{\mathcal{Z}}_y(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh)) \ge \varepsilon) = 0.$$

This, (1), (2) and Theorem 4.2 of [1] prove the theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* In view of Theorem 6, in remains to pass from the vector  $\underline{\mathcal{Z}}_{v}(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, mh)$  to

$$\underline{\mathcal{Z}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh) = (\mathcal{Z}_1(\sigma_1 + imh), \mathcal{Z}_2(\sigma_2 + imh)).$$

In [2] it was proved that, for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N} |\mathcal{Z}_1(\sigma + imh) - \mathcal{Z}_{1,y}(\sigma + imh)| = 0,$$

and in [3] it was obtained that, for  $\sigma > \frac{7}{8}$ ,

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N} |\mathcal{Z}_2(\sigma + imh) - \mathcal{Z}_{2,y}(\sigma + imh)| = 0.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N} \rho(\underline{\mathcal{Z}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh), \underline{\mathcal{Z}}_y(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, mh)) = 0.$$

Therefore, putting

$$\underline{X}_N(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = \underline{\mathcal{Z}}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\theta_N),$$

we derive that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\rho(\underline{X}_{N,y}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2), \underline{X}_N(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) \ge \varepsilon) = 0.$$
(4)

By Theorem 6, we have that

$$\underline{X}_{N,y}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \underline{X}_y(\sigma_1,\sigma_2),$$
(5)

where  $\underline{X}_{y}(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2})$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ -valued random element with the distribution  $P_{y,\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}}$ . Similarly, as in the proof of Theorem 6, we find that the family of probability measures  $\{P_{y,\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}}: y \ge 1\}$  is tight. Hence, it is relatively compact. Therefore, there exists a subsequence  $\{P_{y_{1},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}}\} \subset \{P_{y,\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}}\}$  such that  $P_{y_{1},\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}}$  converges weakly to some probability measure  $P_{\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}}$  as  $y_{1} \to \infty$ . Hence

$$\underline{X}_{y_1}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \xrightarrow[y_1 \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}.$$

This, (4), (5) and Theorem 4.2 of [1] again show that

$$\underline{X}_N(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$$

and the theorem is proved.

#### V. Balinskaitė

### References

- 1. P.Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures, John Willy and Sons, New York (1968).
- V. Balinskaitė, A. Laurinčikas, On value distribution of the Mellin transform of the Riemann zetafunction (submited).
- 3. V. Balinskaitė, A. Laurinčikas, Discrete limit theorems for the Mellin transform of the Riemann zeta-function (submited).

### REZIUMĖ

#### V. Balinskaitė. Dvimatė diskreti ribinė teorema Rymano dzeta funkcijos Melino transformacijoms

Įrodyta dvimatė diskreti ribinė teorema Rymano dzeta funkcijos antrojo ir ketvirtojo laipsnio Melino transformacijoms.