First spring arrival response to climate warming in birds

Feliksas IVANAUSKAS (VU), Remigijus LAPINSKAS (VU), Mečislovas ŽALAKEVIČIUS (Institute of Ecology of Vilnius University) e-mail: feliksas.ivanauskas@maf.vu.lt

The present period of our history is characterized by the distinct global climate change most likely due to man-induced gas emissions accompanied by the so-called "greenhouse" effects, particularly, the global warming tendency [8,9]. As a result, even several years of the 1990s (1990, 1995, 1998, and 2000) were the warmest throughout the 20th century [7]. The trends of climate change observed in the Baltic region, including Lithuania, have been described in a number of special editions and articles. Since the 1970s winters and springs have begun to get apparently warmer in Lithuania. Since the end of the 18th century the average annual weather temperature has increased about 1° and during the 20th century – 0.4–0.5°. During the latter winters and springs warmed up in particular (\sim 1.7–2.0°), whereas summer and autumn temperatures changed less. In the last decade of the 20th century a unique climatic phenomenon was recorded with several warm winters (1988/1989–1994/1995) in turn. The Baltic region has not faced such a long series of anomalously warm winters for the past two hundred years [3]. In Lithuania, air temperatures were higher than the mean annual ones on average 0.5° in 1998, 1.7° in 1999, and 1.9° in 2000.

The impact of global climate warming on birds is obvious and has been reported by many authors [4,2], including the authors of this paper. By different researchers it is indicated that weather conditions of a particular spring have a significant impact on bird spring arrival timing. The shift of first spring arrival dates towards earlier migration in short/medium- and long-distance migrants over the past decades has been reported in a number of publications [2,5,6,1].

This paper reviews the results of the long-term investigation into dates of bird spring arrival. We use the data on the first spring arrival day (FSAD – it is numbered from 1 (January 1) to the 151th day of a year) of 30 short/medium-distance migrants and 16 long-distance migrants collected by experienced professionals during 35 years (1966–2000) by daily routes in the environs of Žuvintas Biosphere Reserve.

In Fig. 1, one can see two typical graphs for both the short/medium-distance migrant (*Sturnus vulgaris* Starling) and long-distance migrant (*Ciconia ciconia* White Stork). Every graph contains raw data accompanied by the fitted lines of the following regression models:

$FSAD = \beta_0 + \beta_Y \cdot Year$	(model I),
$FSAD = \beta_0 + \beta_T \cdot Temp$	(model II),
$FSAD = \beta_0 + (\beta_{Y1} + \beta_{Y2} \cdot Temp) \cdot Year$	(model III).



Fig. 1. Both scatter diagrams are accompanied by fitted lines of the three regression models.

The variable *Year* serves as a proxy to the global climate warming effect and *Temp* stands for the mean February–March temperature in the vicinity of Žuvintas Biosphere Reserve. The most interesting model *III* (model with interaction) allows a varying coefficient at *Year*, which takes into account not only the global warming effect but also adjusts *FSAD* for the mean temperature of the given year. The model *III* equations for Starling and White Stork are, respectively,

$$FSAD = 676, 8 + (-0, 316 - 0, 001 \cdot Temp) \cdot Year$$

(-2, 25) (-5, 64) , $R^2 = 0.6059,$

and

$$FSAD = 381, 6 + (-0, 1503 - 0, 0004 \cdot Temp) \cdot Year$$

(-1, 73) (-2, 50) , $R^2 = 0.2677$

where the Student statistics in parentheses show that the interaction terms are significant at 5% level. In both equations, the terms *Year* and *Temp* \cdot *Year* enter with the "right" (negative) sign which means that when, say, *Year* increases, *FSAD* decreases (i.e., the bird arrives earlier).

As can be seen in Table 1, only 5 (out of 30 short/medium range migrants) have the wrong sign for the *Year* variable (and only 1 for the variable *Temp.Year*) what once again evidences the *Temp* · *Year* climate warming hypothesis. Clearly, it is less probable that *FSAD* for the long distance migrants will be affected by the local temperature (indeed, 5 out of 16 migrants have the wrong *Temp* · *Year* sign) but, as we see in Table 2, all 16 *Year* term signs are correct (and most of them are significant at 10% level).

The analysis presented so far was more or less traditional. Now we would like to describe another approach based on the survival analysis which is used in many biomedical (as well in the economic) sciences and also in engineering (reliability and

No	Bird	Signs	<i>p</i> -value <i>Year</i>	p -value $Temp \cdot Year$	R^2
1	Bean Goose		0.019*	3.2e-09*	0.748
2	Coot		0.001*	5.88e-10*	0.776
3	Curlew		0.007*	0.849	0.225
4	Greylag Goose		3.77e-08*	2.31e-09*	0.86
5	Lapwing		0.13	6.11e-07*	0.598
6	Pochard		0.076*	1.75e-05*	0.545
7	Reed Bunting		0.297	0.000*	0.591
8	Robin		0.103	0.050*	0.307
9	Skylark		0.068*	5.83e-07*	0.609
10	Smew		9.30e-05*	2.08e-05*	0.727
11	Starling		0.032*	3.82e-06*	0.606
12	Tufted Duck		0.000*	1.22e-08*	0.777
13	White-fronted Goose		0.000*	0.002*	0.613
14	Wigeon		0.013*	1.17e-08*	0.724
15	Woodpigeon		0.001*	0.14	0.434
16	Song Thrush		0.036*	0.036*	0.001
17	Bittern		0.306	0.002*	0.342
18	Black-tailed Godwit	+ -	0.895	0.078*	0.096
19	Chaffinch	+ -	0.204	0.004*	0.293
20	Cormorant		0.284	0.857	0.065
21	Linnet		0.629	0.001*	0.464
22	Penduline Tit		0.626	0.7	0.025
23	Pintail	+ -	0.548	0.027*	0.181
24	Redshank		0.535	0.002*	0.298
25	Ruff	+ -	0.78	0.335	0.033
26	Teal	+ -	0.626	2.90e-05*	0.544
27	Whinchat	- +	0.106	0.972	0.141
28	Gadwall		0.737	0.427	0.065
29	Meadow Pipit		0.177	0.007*	0.384
30	Water Rail		0.384	0.373	0.126

Table 1. Summary of model III (short/medium range migrants)

failure time analysis) or sociology (event-history analysis). In all these applications the primary endpoint of interest is time to a certain event (death, radioactive decay, or FSAD) and we would like to model the relationship of "time to event" to other prognostic factors or predictors. We treat FSAD as a random variable and describe its distribution via the hazard function h(t) which assesses the instantaneous risk of "demise" at time t:

$$h(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \Pr(t \leqslant FSAD < t + \Delta t | FSAD > t) / \Delta t.$$

The Cox proportional-hazards model assumes that $h(t) = h_0(t) \cdot e^{(\beta_1 x_1 + ... + \beta_k x_k)}$ and allows to estimate both the parameters β and the unspecified base line hazard $h_0(t)$. We begin with the simple model $h(t) = h_0(t) \cdot e^{(\beta_Y \cdot Y ear)}$ for Starling. In Fig. 2, left, we see that arrival function (we use this term instead of a more common term of survival function) at the mean value of *Year* (=1983) is steadily decreasing in time and ends

No	Bird	Signs	<i>p</i> -value <i>Year</i>	p -value $Temp \cdot Year$	R^2
1	Black Tern	- +	0.054*	0.188	0.143
2	Common Tern		0.023*	0.444	0.195
3	Crane		4.76e-09*	0.004*	0.745
4	Cuckoo	- +	0.079*	0.66	0.099
5	Garganey		0.081*	0.001*	0.399
6	Golden Oriole		0.687	0.085*	0.162
7	Great Reed Warbler		0.663	0.57	0.05
8	Grey Heron		9.18e-08*	0.003*	0.708
9	House Martin	- +	0.001*	0.11	0.313
10	Marsh Harrier		0.076*	0.000*	0.426
11	Savi's Warbler		0.008*	0.888	0.259
12	Spotted Crake	- +	0.144	0.254	0.112
13	Swift	- +	0.002*	0.034*	0.39
14	White Stork		0.094*	0.018*	0.268
15	White Wagtail		0.035*	0.070*	0.284
16	Yellow Wagtail		0.96	0.169	0.073

Table 2. Summary of model III (long range migrants)



Fig. 2. Arrival (survival) functions for Starling.

at day 87. More interesting is the right graph which depicts the *Year* impact on the arrival pattern and where one can easily see that every year Starling is arriving earlier. For example, the median day of arrival (it corresponds to a day when the proportion of not arrived equals 0.5) for the years 1966, 1983 and 2000 equals, respectively, 71, 65, and 61, i.e., we register a 10-day advance effect in 35 years. Note that similar analysis for White Stork discloses a 7-day effect (lowers from 88 to 81).

Another model is the model with interaction $h(t) = h_0(t) \cdot e^{(\beta_{Y_1} \cdot Y ear + \beta_{Y_2} \cdot T emp \cdot Y ear)}$ (below we present the output for Starling):

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	Z.	р
Year	0.063592	1.07	2.33e-02	2.73	6.4e-03
Temp*Year	0.000238	1.00	4.83e-05	4.91	8.9e-07



Fig. 3. Regression model and Cox proportional-hazards model.

The two coefficients are highly significant (p-value p is clearly less than any sensible significance level) and have the right (now positive) signs which once again supports the climate warming hypothesis.

In Fig. 3, one can compare a traditional regression model with the median fit of the Cox proportional-hazards model – both curves demonstrate quite similar behavior.

References

- M. Ahola, T. Laaksonen, K. Sippola, T. Eeva, K. Rainio, E. Lehikoinen, Variation in climate warming along the migration route uncouples arrival and breeding dates, *Global Change Biology*, 10, 1–8 (2004).
- 2. F. Bairlein, W. Winkel, Birds and climate change, in: *Climate of the 21st Century: Changes and Risks*, Wissenschaftliche Auswertungen, Germany (2001), pp. 278–282.
- 3. A. Bukantis, Climatic fluctuations in Lithuania against a background of global warming, *Acta Zoologica Lituanica*, **11**(2), 113–120 (2001).
- 4. J.F. Burton, Birds and Climate Change, A&C Black, London (1995).
- M.C. Forchhammer, E. Post, N.C. Stenseth, North Atlantic Oscillation timing of long- and shortdistance migration, J. Anim. Ecol., 71, 1002–1014 (2002).
- O. Hüppop, K. Hüppop, North Atlantic Oscillation and timing of spring migration in birds, *Proc. R. Soc.*, Lond. B 270, 233–240 (2003).
- 7. J.L. Lozan, I.H. Graßl, P. Hupfer, *Climate of the 21st century: Changes and Risks, Scientific Facts*, Wissenschaftliche Auswertungen, Hamburg (2001).
- 8. S. Manabe, Study of global warming by GFDL climate models, Ambio, 27(3), 182–186 (1998).
- 9. V. Ramanathan, Trace-gas greenhouse effect and global warming, Ambio, 27(3), 187-197 (1998).

REZIUMĖ

F. Ivanauskas, R. Lapinskas, M. Žalakevičius. Klimato šiltėjimo įtaka pirmojo pavasarinio paukščio atskridimo datai

Gerai žinoma, kad migruojantys paukščiai į Lietuvą grįžta vis anksčiau. Remdamiesi klasikiniais regresiniais, o taip pat išgyvenamumo teorijos metodais, įrodome, kad globalus klimato šiltėjimo efektas yra statistiškai reikšmingas.