

## A joint limit theorem for Lerch zeta-function

A. Laurinčikas\* (VU)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $s = \sigma + it$  be a complex variable. The Lerch zeta-function  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$  is defined, for  $\sigma > 1$ , by

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{(m + \alpha)^s}.$$

Here  $\alpha, \lambda$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , are fixed parameters. When  $\lambda$  is an integer, the Lerch zeta-function reduces to the Hurwitz zeta-function. Therefore we consider the case  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . It is well known that in this case the Lerch zeta-function is analytically continuable to an entire function.

Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  the class of Borel sets of the space  $S$ , and let, for  $T > 0$ ,

$$\nu_T(\dots) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{t \in [0, T], \dots\},$$

where  $\text{meas}\{A\}$  stands for the Lebesgue measure of the set  $A$ , and in place of dots some condition satisfied by  $t$  is to be written.

Let  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma > 1/2\}$ , and denote by  $H(D)$  the space of analytic on  $D$  functions equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Denote by  $\gamma$  the unit circle on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let

$$\Omega = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_m,$$

where  $\gamma_m = \gamma$  for all  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $m_H$  be the probability Haar measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ . Define on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$  an  $H(D)$ -valued random element

$$L(s, \omega) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m} \omega(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s},$$

where  $\omega(m)$  is the projection of  $\omega \in \Omega$  to the coordinate space  $\gamma_m$ .

Let  $n$  be a natural number. Define on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$  an  $H^n(D)$ -valued random element  $L_n(s, \omega)$  by

$$L_n(s, \omega) = (L(s, \omega), L^2(s, \omega), \dots, L^n(s, \omega)),$$

---

\*Partially supported by Grant from Lithuanian Foundation of Studies and Science.

and let  $P_{L_n}$  denote the distribution of  $L_n(s, \omega)$ . Our purpose is to prove a limit theorem for the probability measure

$$P_T(A) = \nu_T\left(\left(L(\lambda, \alpha, s+i\tau), L^2(\lambda, \alpha, s+i\tau), \dots, L^n(\lambda, \alpha, s+i\tau)\right) \in A\right), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^n(D)).$$

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a transcendental number. Then the probability measure  $P_T$  converges weakly to  $P_{L_n}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The theorem remains valid in the case when the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  in the definition of  $P_T$  are different.

## 2. Auxiliary results

For the proof of the theorem we will use an onedimensional limit theorem for the Lerch zeta-function.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a transcendental number. Then the probability measure*

$$\nu_T(L(\lambda, \alpha, s+i\tau) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

*converges weakly to the distribution of the random element  $L(s, \omega)$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof* of the lemma is given in [2], [3]. Let  $S$  and  $S_1$  be two metric spaces, and let  $h : S \rightarrow S_1$  be a measurable function. Then every probability measure  $P$  on  $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$  induces on  $(S_1, \mathcal{B}(S_1))$  the unique probability measure  $Ph^{-1}$  defined by the equality  $Ph^{-1}(A) = P(h^{-1}A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S_1)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $h: S \rightarrow S_1$  be a continuous function. If  $P_n$  converges weakly to  $P$ , then  $P_n h^{-1}$  converges weakly to  $Ph^{-1}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof* can be found in [1].

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a transcendental number, and  $k$  be a natural number. Then the probability measure*

$$\nu_T(L^k(\lambda, \alpha, s+i\tau) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

*converges weakly, to the distribution of the random element  $L^k(s, \omega)$ .*

*Proof.* The lemma is a simple consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2.

## 3. Proof of the theorem

We will deduce the theorem from Lemma 3.

**LEMMA 4.** *The family of probability measures  $\{P_T, T > 0\}$  is relatively compact.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3 the probability measure

$$P_{kT}(A) = \nu_T(L^k(\lambda, \alpha, s + i\tau) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

for any natural  $k$  converges weakly to the distribution of the random element  $L^k(s, \omega)$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . From this it follows that the family of probability measures  $\{P_{kT}, T > 0\}$  is relatively compact. Since  $H(D)$  is a complete separable space, hence we obtain by the second Prokhorov theorem [1] that the family  $\{P_{kT}\}$  is tight, i.e. for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K_k \subset H(D)$  such that

$$P_{kT}(H(D) \setminus K_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \tag{1}$$

for all  $T > 0$ . Define on a probability space  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  a random variable  $\eta_T$  by

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta_T \in A) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I_A dt, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where  $I_A$  is the indicator function of the set  $A$ . Consider the  $H(D)$ -valued random element  $L_{kT}(s) = L^k(\lambda, \alpha, s + i\eta_T)$ , and let

$$L_T(s) = (L_{1T}(s), \dots, L_{nT}(s)).$$

Then, by (1)

$$\mathbb{P}(L_{kT}(s) \in H(D) \setminus K_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{n}.$$

Hence, putting  $K = K_1 \times \dots \times K_n$ , we obtain

$$P_T(H^n(D) \setminus K) = \mathbb{P}(L_T(s) \in H^n(D) \setminus K) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n (L_{kT}(s) \in H(D) \setminus K_k)\right) < \varepsilon$$

for all  $T > 0$ . Consequently, the family  $\{P_T\}$  is tight. Hence by the first Prokhorov theorem [1] it is relatively compact.

Let  $s_1, \dots, s_r$  be arbitrary points on  $D$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \min_{1 \leq l \leq r} \Re s_l$ ,  $\sigma_2 = 1/2 - \sigma_1 < 0$ , and  $D_1 = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma > \sigma_2\}$ . We take arbitrary complex number  $u_{kl}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq u$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq r$ , and let  $h : H^n(D) \rightarrow H(D_1)$  be given by the formula

$$h(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} f_k(s_l + s), \quad s \in D, f_j \in H(D).$$

Moreover, let

$$L_h(s) = h(L(\lambda, \alpha, s), L^2(\lambda, \alpha, s), \dots, L^n(\lambda, \alpha, s)).$$

Then precisely as in [4] we find that

$$L_h(s + i\eta_T) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} h(L_n(s)), \tag{2}$$

where  $L_n(s) = L_n(s, \omega)$ .

*Proof of theorem.* By Lemma 4 there exists a sequence  $T_1 \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $P_{T_1}$  converges weakly to some probability measure  $P$ . Let  $P$  is the distribution of an  $H^n(D)$ -valued random element

$$\tilde{L}(s) = (\tilde{L}_1(s), \dots, \tilde{L}_n(s)),$$

i.e.

$$L_{T_1} \xrightarrow[T_1 \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \tilde{L}.$$

Hence and from Lemma 2 we have that

$$h(L_{T_1}) \xrightarrow[T_1 \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} h(\tilde{L}),$$

or

$$L_h(s + i\eta T_1) \xrightarrow[T_1 \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} h(\tilde{L}). \quad (3)$$

By (2)

$$L_h(s + i\eta T_1) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} h(L_n).$$

Hence and from (3) it follows that

$$h(L_n) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} h(\tilde{L}). \quad (4)$$

Let a function  $h_1 : H(D_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be given by the formula

$$h_1(f) = f(0), \quad f \in H(D_1).$$

Then the relation (4) implies

$$h_1(h(L)) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} h_1(h(\tilde{L})).$$

This yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} L^k(\lambda, \alpha, s_l, \omega) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} \tilde{L}_k(s_l)$$

for arbitrary complex number  $u_{kl}$ . Hence, using properties of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we deduce that  $(L^k(\lambda, \alpha, s_l, \omega))$  and  $(\tilde{L}_k(s_l))$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq r$ , have the same distribution.

Let  $K$  be an arbitrary compact subset of  $D$ , and let the sequence  $\{s_l\}$  is dense in  $K$ . Moreover, we set

$$G = \left\{ (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in H^n(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g_j(s) - f_j(s)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

$$G_r = \left\{ (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in H^n(D) : |g_k(s_l) - f_k(s_l)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad l = 1, \dots, r \right\}$$

Then we obtain that

$$m_H(\omega \in \Omega : L_n(s, \omega) \in G_r) = P(\tilde{L}(s) \in G_r).$$

Since  $G_r \rightarrow G$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , letting  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , hence we find

$$m_H(\omega \in \Omega : L_n(s, \omega) \in G) = P(\tilde{L}(s) \in G).$$

This gives

$$L_n \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \tilde{L}.$$

Thus

$$L_{T1} \xrightarrow[T_1 \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} L_n.$$

From this the theorem easily follows.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [2] A. Laurinčikas, *On limit distribution of the Lerch zeta-function*, New Trends in Probability and Statistics, Vol. 4, VSP/TEV, Utrecht/Vilnius, 1997, 135–148.
- [3] A. Laurinčikas, A limit theorem for the Lerch zeta-function in the space of analytic functions, *Lith. Math. J.*, **37**(2) (1997), 146–155.
- [4] A. Laurinčikas and K. Matsumoto, Joint value-distribution theorems on Lerch zeta-functions, *Liet. Matem. Rink.*, (to appear).

#### **Daugiamatė ribinė teorema Lercho dzeta funkcijai**

A. Laurinčikas (VU)

Straipsnyje įrodoma ribinė teorema Lercho dzeta funkcijos laipsniams analizinių funkcijų erdvėje.